Contents:

§1. Policy	. 1
§ 1.1. Reduction in Force	. 1
§ 1.2. Furlough	. 2
§ 1.3. Partial Furlough by Reduction in the Employee's Work Schedule	. 3
§ 2. RIF Retention Factors	. 3
§ 2.1. Type of Appointment	. 3
§ 2.2. Relative Efficiency	. 4
§ 2.3. Actual or Potential Adverse Impact	. 4
§ 2.4. Length of Service	. 4
§ 3. Area of Analysis for RIF	. 4
§4. Avoiding a RIF	. 5
§ 5. Office of Human Resources Responsibility	. 5
§ 6. Agency or University Responsibility	. 5
§ 7. Notification Requirement	. 6
§ 8. Appeals	. 6
§ 9. Leave	. 6
§9.1. Vacation Leave	. 6
§9.2. Bonus Leave	. 6
§ 10. Sick Leave	. 7
§ 11. Sources of Authority	. 7
§ 12. History of this Policy	. 7

§1. Policy

The State of North Carolina is committed to providing a fair workplace that is focused on continuous efforts to be efficient and effective. At times, however, the workforce may be impacted by circumstances that require restructuring. These efforts may include a Reduction in Force (RIF) or furlough.

§ 1.1. Reduction in Force

An agency or university has the authority to permanently separate an employee whenever it is necessary due to:

- Shortage or loss of funds;
- Shortage or loss of work;
- Abolishment of a position; or
- Other material changes in position duties or organization.

No loss of funds shall be required as a precondition for a reduction in force; however, an agency or university may not use the RIF process to circumvent the disciplinary process required to separate or demote an employee for a disciplinary reason.

A RIF requires written guidelines for that specific RIF (the RIF plan) as described below starting in Section 2 of this policy. RIF procedures also apply to position or budgetary changes that result in a permanent involuntary reduction in an employee's work hours.

§ 1.2. Furlough

An agency or university has the authority to implement a furlough when funds are not available to pay an employee. A furlough is temporary involuntary leave without pay. The Leave Without Pay Policy applies to the employee on furlough, except: (1) no application is required, (2) vacation, sick, bonus, and other forms of leave do not need to be exhausted before the employee is placed on leave without pay, and (3) the employee is not required to give written notice of intention to return to work before the end of the leave.

A furlough, unlike a RIF, may be implemented immediately. No RIF plan is required before implementing a furlough. A furlough gives the agency or university the opportunity to learn more details in a time-sensitive situation and develop a comprehensive response. The furlough process is available not only for funding interruptions that are expected to be temporary, but also as a response to a funding interruption that may be permanent.

If funding is restored, the furlough must end. If funding is not restored, a RIF may be necessary. If a furlough lasts for more than 30 calendar days, or for more than 22 discontinuous workdays, the agency should begin the process for a RIF action and should begin preparing a RIF plan as described in this policy. The RIF should proceed on a reasonable timeline given the circumstances, and it can be delayed if the agency believes in good faith that funding will be restored and that the employee will have the opportunity to return to work.

Before any furlough, Cabinet agencies should obtain approval from the Office of State Budget and Management (OSBM) and Office of State Human Resources (OSHR).. Non-Cabinet agencies and university institutions are encouraged, but not required, to contact OSBM and OSHR before any furlough. The employee should be contacted immediately when a furlough is implemented.

At the end of the furlough, if funding has been restored and the funding source allows retroactive payment for the furlough period, agencies and universities are authorized to pay employees for time that they were out on furlough. However, agencies are not required to compensate employees for the time they were out on furlough.

§ 1.3. Partial Furlough by Reduction in the Employee's Work Schedule

If some, but not all, of the funding for an employee is not available, the agency may reduce the employee's work schedule. The employee should be contacted immediately when a work schedule reduction is implemented. For the part of the employee's schedule where work has been reduced, the same procedures apply as for a full-time furlough; the employee is placed on temporary involuntary Leave Without Pay for that portion of the workweek. A work schedule reduction does not change an employer's responsibilities under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).

§ 2. RIF Retention Factors

Each agency or university has the authority to decide whether a RIF is necessary and when the RIF will take place. When the decision to implement a RIF is made, the agency or university should consult with its Human Resources Office and its legal counsel before finalizing the timing and scope of the RIF. As part of that effort, the agency or university must prepare a written RIF plan that includes the retention factors listed below.

Once the agency or university makes these decisions, the retention factors then determine which employee(s) are identified for a RIF action. Retention of employees in classes affected by a RIF action shall be based on a fair and systematic consideration, at a minimum, of the following factors. Additional retention factors may be evaluated by the agency or university when those factors are related to the circumstances of the RIF.

§ 2.1. Type of Appointment

Neither temporary nor probationary employees in their initial 12 months of employment (or initial 24 months of employment for sworn law enforcement officers) shall be retained in classes in which employees with permanent appointments (those who have satisfactorily completed a probationary or equivalent trial period) must be separated in the same or related class.

§ 2.2. Relative Efficiency

Relative efficiency shall be expressed as the employee's most recent overall performance rating. Management may also consider the rating for each individual or institutional goal and value when overall performance ratings are equivalent, documented employee skills and ability to perform the remaining work required of class members after the implementation of the RIF, and past performance evaluations including previous active and inactive disciplinary actions.

§ 2.3. Actual or Potential Adverse Impact

In accordance with federal guidelines on equal employment opportunity, all decisions concerning reduction-in-force must be analyzed to determine their impact on agency utilization goals based on race and sex to avoid adverse impact in violation of Section 4.d of the Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures as applied to selection rates for separation through RIF.

§ 2.4. Length of Service

Total state service determines length of service credit. In determining the length of service credit, an eligible veteran shall be accorded one year of state service for each year, or fraction thereof, of military service, up to a maximum of five (5) years of credit.

Although all retention factors must be evaluated, they may be weighted differently for each RIF event to meet the needs of the employing agency or university. Analysis of factors may include any mitigating or exacerbating circumstances relevant to each factor.

§ 3. Area of Analysis for RIF

When preparing for a RIF, the agency or university defines the Area of Analysis that establishes the geographical and organizational limits for RIF evaluation. The agency or university's written RIF plan must include this Area of Analysis. The

analysis may include all or part of an agency (a unique work unit, division or entire agency/university). Differences in operation, work function, funding source, staff, and personnel administration may be considered when determining the appropriate area of analysis.

§ 4. Avoiding a RIF

A decision to implement a RIF must be reached only after the systematic consideration of actions designed to avoid the layoff. These actions may include but are not limited to the elimination of vacant positions; reduction in non-personnel related expenses; placement in a vacant position for which the employee qualifies; or retraining employees to facilitate placement in other positions at the agency or university.

§ 5. Office of Human Resources Responsibility

The responsibilities of OSHR shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Establishing the RIF Plan Requirements and Program Guidelines to be followed by all agencies and universities to ensure commitment to, and accountability throughout, State Government;
- 2. Reviewing, approving and monitoring RIF plans and updates for agencies;
- 3. Providing technical assistance, training, oversight, monitoring, evaluation, and support to the RIF program; and
- 4. Developing, updating, and maintaining the RIF Priority Verification List database system.

§ 6. Agency or University Responsibility

The responsibilities of each Agency Head, Department Head and University Chancellor, or their designees, shall include:

- 1. Adhering to the RIF policy and programs that have been adopted by the State Human Resources Commission and approved by the Governor;
- Agencies only: Submitting RIF plans via Smartsheet and any necessary updates for approval by OSHR a minimum of two weeks prior to notifying

employees of RIF actions; and

- 3. Universities only: Submit RIF plans and any necessary updates for approval by the President of the University System (or a Chancellor of a constituent institution, if delegated this power by the President of the University System) a minimum of one week prior to notifying employees of RIF actions. Submit approved RIF plans to OSHR for informational purposes within five (5) calendar days after approval.
- 4. Submitting employee information via Smartsheet within 30 days of RIF notification to OSHR to maintain the RIF Priority Verification List.

§7. Notification Requirement

The employing agency or university shall notify the employee in writing as soon as possible and in any case no fewer than 30 calendar days prior to the effective date of RIF separation. The written notification shall include the reasons for the RIF.

§8. Appeals

An employee separated through a reduction in force may appeal the action only on the grounds listed in the applicable Employee Grievance Policy.

§9. Leave

§ 9.1. Vacation Leave

Employees who are separated due to a RIF may request, subject to approval by management, to exhaust vacation leave and be paid in a lump sum for the balance not to exceed 240 hours. If an employee had over 240 hours of vacation leave at the time of their separation the excess leave shall be reinstated when reemployed within one year after separation.

§ 9.2. Bonus Leave

Bonus leave will be paid in a lump sum if eligible to employees who are separated due to a RIF.

§ 10. Sick Leave

Employees separated due to reduction-in-force shall be informed that their sick leave shall be reinstated if employed in any agency or university within five years.

§ 11. Sources of Authority

This policy is issued under any and all of the following sources of law:

• N.C.G.S. § 126-4(5), regarding "[h]ours and days of work, holidays, vacation,

sick leave, and other matters pertaining to the conditions of employment;"

- N.C.G.S. § 126-4(7a), regarding "[t]he separation of employees;"
- N.C.G.S. § 126-4(10), regarding "programs and procedures as may be

necessary to promote efficiency of administration and provide for a fair and modern system of personnel administration;" and

• N.C.G.S. § 126-7.1, regarding RIF.

§ 12. History of this Policy

Date	Version
July 28, 1949	First version
August 3, 1973	Established procedure for lay-off and demotion to effect reduction in
	force in the Employment Security Commission.
January 25, 1974	A permanent employee who is separated due to reduction in force
	shall have the right to appeal to the State Personnel Board for a
	review to assure that systematic procedures were applied equally
	and fairly.
January 1, 1976	Includes provisions for competitive service positions and provides
	that all reductions in force be based on systematic consideration of
	time of appointment, length of service, relative efficiency.
March 1, 1978	If an employee with five years of service is either transferred to an
	exempt position or occupies one that is declared exempt, upon
	leaving that position for reasons other than just cause, such

	employee shall have priority to any position that becomes available
	for which the employee is qualified.
	A permanent employee, who has been or is scheduled to be
	separated due to reduction in force, shall have priority to any position
	that becomes available for which the employee is qualified.
August 1, 1978	Reduction in force – priority consideration defined.
August 1, 1979	Severance pay equivalent to two weeks approved by 1979 GA.
March 4, 1981	Emergency regulation on reduction in force.
June 1, 1981	Revision in the wording of the policy to include "neither temporary,
	probationary nor trainee employees shall be retained in cases where
	permanent employees must be separated in the same or related
	classes." AND that type of appointment, length of service and
	relative efficiency do not necessarily have to be considered in that
	order.
August 1, 1981	Policy changes due to Governor and Legislature requesting
	reduction in work force.
October 1, 1984	Amendments to AA Policy and RIF.
June 1, 1985	Deleted competitive service provisions.
July 1, 1985	Section on Appeals revised to conform to Legislation requiring years
	of service in certain pay grades before becoming a permanent
	employee.
February 1, 1987	Agency responsibility clarified (1) guideline must be openly available
	for review (2) must inform employees in writing of reasons of RIF,
	eligibility for priority, appeal rights, and other benefits (3) must give
	two weeks' notice.
	Affirmative Action changed to state all decisions must be analyzed to
	determine impact on departmental utilization goals and to avoid
	adverse.
L	

August 1, 1988	Reinstatement of sick leave changed to five years instead of three
	years.
November 1, 1990	Leave Without Pay Option deleted since no longer needed.
April 1, 1993	Priority Reemployment Consideration – revised to allow a new
	probation period in certain situations involving the reemployment of a
	person involved in reduction-in-force.
March 1, 1994	Changed "permanent" to "career."
April 1, 1995	Note about veteran preference added for clarification.
December 1, 1995	Revised to conform to reduction-in-force statutory provisions.
June 1, 2008	Under the paragraph on Leave, added provision that leave in excess
	of 240 shall be reinstated if reemployed within one year. (This
	provision has been in the Reinstatement Policy since 2002. It is
	added here for clarity.) (2) Changed policy to allow an employee who
	is reduced in force to exhaust vacation leave after their last day of
	work and still be paid for up to 240 hours of leave in a lump sum.
January 1, 2009	A decision of the N.C. Court of Appeals said that an issue regarding
	the manner in which a reduction in force is carried out is no longer
	considered a contested case issue; therefore, the paragraph on
	Appeals is changed to recognize the impact of that decision. (The
	rule will be changed to reflect this change also.)
March 1, 2011	The paragraph on Appeals was changed (per Lynn Floyd) to include
	appeal if it is alleged that the separation is a denial of the veteran's
	preference granted in connection with a reduction in force. (This
	change is simultaneous with the publication of the new Manual;
	therefore, no revision was sent out separately.)
December 1, 2013	Section on "Appeals" changed to refer RIF employees to Employee
	Grievance Policy found in Section 7 of the HR Manual.
I	

October 1, 2014	Changed trainee eligibility period from 6 months to 24 months to
	align with the legal definition of probationary period.
	Notification requirements were moved from "agency responsibility"
	and put in an independent section to place emphasis on the
	requirement.
	Removed the requirement for agencies to send applications of RIF
	employees to OSHR.
	Added a clarifying statement in the "leave" section that one year time
	period for reinstating excess leave is from the date of separation and
	not the date of notification of separation.
February 6, 2020	Policy reviewed by the Diversity and Workforce Services Division to
	confirm alignment with current practices and by the Legal,
	Commission, and Policy Division to confirm alignment with statutory,
	rule(s), and other policies. Reported to SHRC on February 6, 2020.
	North Carolina General Statute has been updated to reflect that no
	loss of funds shall be required as a precondition for a reduction in
	force (N.C.G.S. § 126-7.1 (b)). The policy revisions reflect this
	change, as well as adding some clarification regarding retention
	factors.
October 7, 2021	Policy reviewed by the Diversity and Workforce Services Division to
	confirm alignment with current practices and by the Legal,
	Commission, and Policy Division to confirm alignment with statutory,
	rule(s), and other policies. The RIF policy was modified to reflect
	changes included in HB602 that allows the UNC System to approve
	RIF Plans.
April 17, 2025	New text added to policy on furloughs and partial furloughs by work
	schedule reduction. This new text is based on the procedures that
	schedule reduction. This new text is based on the procedules that
	have been used in the past when federal shutdowns have occurred,

employees, and state employees have been placed on furlough as a
result.
RIF text of policy updated to make clear that the retention factors and
analysis described in the policy should be documented in a written
RIF plan. The discussion of retention factors has been moved from
the "Area of Analysis" section to the "RIF Retention Factors" section.
Clarifying edits were made to the discussion of each retention factor.
Agency responsibility section updated to identify current way of
submitting RIF plans to OSHR (through Smartsheet). "Leave" section
updated to make clear it is specific to RIFs, not to furloughs. "Sources
of Authority" section added.