September 2020

Age	Number of Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
Less than 20	39	0.0%
20-29	10,342	12.6%
30-39	17,013	20.6%
40-49	21,040	25.5%
50-59	23,547	28.6%
60-69	9,668	11.7%
70 or more	749	0.9%

Employment Type		Percent of Total State Employees
Full Time	59,784	98.83%
Part Time	308	0.51%
Time Limited	402	0.66%

Education	Number of Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
Less than High School	3,201	4%
High School Graduate	29,131	35%
Associate	8,392	10%
Bachelor	22,612	27%
Advanced Degree	9,308	11%
Certifications	280	0%

Gender	Number of Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
Female	43,09	3 52%
Male	39,30	5 48%

Job Categories	Number of SHRA Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
Administrative Support	5,489	9.1%
Agricultural, Environmental & Scientific	2,183	3.6%
Corrections	14,636	24.2%
Education & Training	625	1.0%
Engineering	2,880	4.8%
Executive	66	0.1%
Financial & Business Management	2,776	4.6%
Human Resources	659	1.1%

		i de la companya de
Human Services	3,216	5.3%
Information Communication & Media	365	0.6%
Information Technology	2,335	3.9%
Institutional Support Services	1,268	2.1%
Law Enforcement & Public Safety	3,284	5.4%
Legal	585	1.0%
Medical & Health	8,687	14.4%
Natural, Historic & Cultural Resources	613	1.0%
Operations & Trades	6,270	10.4%
Planning & Economic Development	386	0.6%
Program Management	3,253	5.4%
Safety & Inspection	918	1.5%

Ethnicity	Number of Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
African American	25,394	30.8%
Asian	1,916	2.3%
Hispanic	1,987	2.4%
Multinational	376	0.5%
Native American	1,238	1.5%
Pacific Islander	39	0.0%
White	49,585	60.2%
Ethnicity Not Given	1,863	2.3%

Years of Service	Number of Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
Less than 2 years	12,209	15%
2 to 4	15,092	18%
5 to 9	15,406	19%
10 to 14	12,900	16%
15 to 19	10,829	13%
20 to 29	13,739	17%
30+	2,223	3%

Agency	Number of SHRA Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
Administration	442	0.7%
Agriculture	1,874	3.1%
Commerce	1,523	2.5%
Community Colleges	165	0.3%
Cosmetic Arts	23	0.0%
Elections	88	0.1%
Environmental Quality	1,496	2.5%

Health Human Services	15,566	25.7%
Information Technology	1,065	1.8%
Insurance	563	0.9%
Justice	748	1.2%
Labor	334	0.6%
Military and Veterans Affairs	97	0.2%
Natural and Cultural Resources	1,728	2.9%
Opticians	1	0.0%
Public Instruction	846	1.4%
Public Safety	22,036	36.4%
Revenue	1,320	2.2%
Secretary of State	184	0.3%
State Auditor	139	0.2%
State Budget & Management	50	0.1%
State Controller	165	0.3%
State Ethics	10	0.0%
State Human Resources	82	0.1%
State Treasurer	320	0.5%
Transportation	9,022	14.9%
Wildlife	607	1.0%

University/School	Number of Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
Appalachian State University	1,290	6%
Elizabeth City State University	151	1%
East Carolina University	2,144	10%
Fayetteville State University	349	2%
North Carolina Agricultural & Technical State University	834	4%
North Carolina Central University	522	2%
North Carolina State University	3,994	18%
University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill	6,476	30%
University of North Carolina-General Administration	379	1%
University of North Carolina-Asheville	303	1%
University of North Carolina-Charlotte	1,760	8%
University of North Carolina-Greensboro	1,130	5%
University of North Carolina-Pembroke	236	2%
University of North Carolina-School of the Arts	244	1%
University of North Carolina-Wilmington	1,035	5%
Western Carolina University	688	3%
Winston-Salem State University	369	2%

County	Number of Employees	Percent of Total State Employees
	Employees	State Employees

Alamance	222	0.3%
Alexander	522	0.6%
Alleghany	44	0.1%
Anson	565	0.7%
Ashe	84	0.1%
Avery	504	0.6%
Beaufort	247	0.3%
Bertie	444	0.5%
Bladen	260	0.3%
Brunswick	184	0.2%
Buncombe	2,145	2.6%
Burke	3,101	3.8%
Cabarrus	475	0.6%
Caldwell	266	0.3%
Camden	22	0.0%
Carteret	448	0.5%
Caswell	329	0.4%
Catawba	441	0.5%
Chatham	217	0.3%
Cherokee	115	0.1%
Chowan	90	0.1%
Clay	27	0.0%
Cleveland	291	0.4%
Columbus	882	1.1%
Craven	716	0.9%
Cumberland	1,013	1.2%
Currituck	67	0.1%
Dare	342	0.1%
Davidson	272	0.4 %
Davie	61	0.3%
	117	0.1%
Duplin Durham		
	1,026	1.2%
Edgecombe	187	0.2% 1.6%
Forsyth	1,327	
Franklin	259	0.3%
Gaston	285	0.3%
Gates	27	0.0%
Graham	25	0.0%
Granville	3,835	4.7%
Greene	1,063	1.3%
Guilford	2,804	3.4%
Halifax	505	0.6%
Harnett	486	0.6%
Haywood	169	0.2%
Henderson	231	0.3%
Hertford	123	0.1%

Hyde	Hoke	197	0.2%
Iredell 316 0.4% Jackson 925 1.1% Johnston 437 0.5% Jones 23 0.0% Lee 187 0.2% Lenoir 1,542 1.9% Lincoln 162 0.2% Macon 71 0.1% Martin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mecklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Morte 321 0.4% More 321 0.4% More 321 0.4% More 321 0.4% More 321 0.4% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Orsiow 243 0.3% Pastic 262 6,937 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pastic 228 0.1% Person </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Jackson 925 1.1% Johnston 437 0.5% Jones 23 0.0% Lee 187 0.2% Lenoir 1,542 1.9% Lincoln 162 0.2% Macon 71 0.1% Macison 71 0.1% Martin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mcklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Mortgomery 323 0.4% More 321 0.4% Northampton 140 0.2% Orslow 243 0.3% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Orslow 243 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Perguimans 55 0.1% Perguimans 55 0.1% Perg	•		
Johnston 437 0.5% Jones 23 0.0% Lee 187 0.2% Lenoir 1,542 1.9% Lincoln 162 0.2% Macon 71 0.1% Madison 71 0.1% Martin 209 0.3% McDewell 569 0.7% Mccklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Morgorey 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Northampton 140 0.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamilico 206 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Perder 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph			
Jones 23 0.0% Lee 187 0.2% Lenoir 1,542 1.9% Lincoln 162 0.2% Macon 71 0.1% Mactin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% McChewell 49 0.1% Mecklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Pergon 68 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph			
Lee 187 0.2% Lenoir 1,542 1.9% Lincoln 162 0.2% Macon 71 0.1% Madison 71 0.1% Martin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mcklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Morgomery 323 0.4% More 321 0.4% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Orslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perdujimans 55 0.1% Pergujimans 55 0.1% Pergujimans 55 0.1% Pergujimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pol			
Lenoir 1,542 1.9% Lincoln 162 0.2% Macon 71 0.1% Madison 71 0.1% Martin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mccklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Mortgomery 323 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Orslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamilico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Pender 358 0.4% Perguimans 55 0.1% Perguimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Polk 39 0.0% Robeson <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Lincoln 162 0.2% Macon 71 0.1% Mactin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mcklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Oralge 6,907 8.4% Pamilico 26 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.3% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Perguimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan			
Macon 71 0.1% Madison 71 0.1% Martin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mecklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Morgorery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Northampton 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Perquimans 55 0.1% Perguimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 33 1.1% Rowan </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Madison 71 0.1% Martin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mecklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Oraslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Paquitans 623 0.8% Perder 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Martin 209 0.3% McDowell 569 0.7% Mecklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Robeson 933 1.1% Rokingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Stanly <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
McDowell 569 0.7% Mecklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamilico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Pollk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Soutland 620 0.3% Stanly 551 0.7% Sto			
Mecklenburg 2,624 3.2% Mitchell 49 0.1% Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Perder 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Sumpson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly<			
Mitchell 49 0.1% Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1%			
Montgomery 323 0.4% Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamilico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquiimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Swain 44 0.1%	_		
Moore 321 0.4% Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perguimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.11% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Swain			
Nash 541 0.7% New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Swain 44 0.1% Transplyania	<u> </u>		
New Hanover 1,849 2.2% Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Northampton 140 0.2% Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamilico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union			
Onslow 243 0.3% Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.11% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%			
Orange 6,907 8.4% Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance			
Pamlico 206 0.3% Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%			
Pasquotank 623 0.8% Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	•		
Pender 358 0.4% Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%			
Perquimans 55 0.1% Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	•		
Person 68 0.1% Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%			
Pitt 2,935 3.6% Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	·		
Polk 39 0.0% Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%			
Randolph 584 0.7% Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%			
Richmond 374 0.5% Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%			
Robeson 933 1.1% Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	•		
Rockingham 176 0.2% Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Richmond		
Rowan 703 0.9% Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Robeson	933	1.1%
Rutherford 206 0.3% Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Rockingham	176	0.2%
Sampson 467 0.6% Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Rowan	703	0.9%
Scotland 620 0.8% Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Rutherford	206	0.3%
Stanly 551 0.7% Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Sampson	467	0.6%
Stokes 92 0.1% Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Scotland	620	0.8%
Surry 181 0.2% Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Stanly	551	0.7%
Swain 44 0.1% Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Stokes	92	0.1%
Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	Surry	181	0.2%
Transylvania 70 0.1% Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	•	44	0.1%
Tyrrell 115 0.1% Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%		70	0.1%
Union 230 0.3% Vance 141 0.2%	•		0.1%
Vance 141 0.2%	•		
			27.0%

Warren	340	0.4%
Washington	69	0.1%
Watauga	1,416	1.7%
Wayne	2,429	2.9%
Wilkes	359	0.4%
Wilson	796	1.0%
Yadkin	71	0.1%
Yancey	59	0.1%